Page 2

CRIGINAL

05-550

## PETITION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2254 FOR WRIT OF

United States District Court	District of Delaware
Name (under which you were convicted):  John H. Benge, Jr.	Docket or Case No.:
Place of Confinement: Sussex Correctional Institution	Prisoner No.: 494395
Petitioner (include the name under which you were convicted)  John H. Benge, Jr.	Respondent (authorized person having custody of petitioner) v. Rick Kearney, Warden Sussex Correctional Institution
The Attorney General of the State of Delaware	M. Jane Brady, Esq. 20 N. French Street Wilmington, DF 1980

HABEAS CORPUS BY A PERSON IN STATE CUSTODY

## **PETITION**

1.	(a) Name and location of court that entered the judgment of conviction you are challenging:						
	Superior Court of the State of Delaware in and for Sussex County  The Circle, Georgetown, DE 19947						
	(b) Criminal docket or case number (if you know): Case ID No. 0210012355A						
2.	(a) Date of the judgment of conviction (if you know): October 10, 2003						
	(b) Date of sentencing: October 10, 2003						
3.	Length of sentence: 9 years, 30 days imprisonment						
4.	In this case, were you convicted on more than one count or of more than one crime? Yes X No C						
5.	Identify all crimes of which you were convicted and sentenced in this case:						
	Assault Second degree 8 years imprisonment						
	Criminal Trespass First degree   1 year imprisonment						
	Offensive Touching 30 days imprisonment						
6.	(a) What was your plea? (Check one)						
	(I) Not guilty ♥☐ (3) Nolo contendere (no contest) ☐						
	(2) Guilty   (4) Insanity plea						
	(b) If you entered a guilty plea to one count or charge and a not guilty plea to another count or						
	charge, what did you plead guilty to and what did you plead not guilty to?						
	Not applicable						

7.

8.

9.

Page 3
(c) If you went to trial, what kind of trial did you have? (Check one)
Jury ☑ Judge only □
Did you testify at a pretrial hearing, trial, or a post-trial hearing?
Yes 🖫 No 🗅
Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction?
Yes 24 No 🗆
If you did appeal, answer the following:
(a) Name of court: Supreme Court of the State of Delaware
(b) Docket or case number (if you know): No. 544, 2003
(c) Result: The three convictions were affirmed
(d) Date of result (if you know): November 15, 2004
(e) Citation to the case (if you know): <u>unreported order</u> (f) Grounds raised: <u>Lack of specific unanimity instruction as to assault</u>
second degree; incomplete instruction on lesser included offenses
which operated to deny due process; prosecutor's improper attack on
petitioner's character; improper admission of inflammatory evidence
which operated to deny due process; imposition of prison sentences
that exceeded the maximum penalties permissible under the 6th Amendmen
(g) Did you seek further review by a higher state court? Yes □ No X□
If yes, answer the following:
(1) Name of court:
(2) Docket or case number (if you know):
(3) Result:
(4) Date of result (if you know):
(5) Citation to the case (if you know):
(6) Grounds raised:
(h) Did you file a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court? Yes I No 🛭
If yes, answer the following:
(1) Docket or case number (if you know):

		(2) Result:	Page 4
		(3) Date of result (if you know):	
		(4) Citation to the case (if you know):	
0.	Otl	her than the direct appeals listed above, have you previously filed any other petitions,	
	apı	plications, or motions concerning this judgment of conviction in any state court?	
		Yes 🗆 No 🖾	
1.	If y	your answer to Question 10 was "Yes," give the following information:	
	(a)	(1) Name of court:	
		(2) Docket or case number (if you know):	
		(3) Date of filing (if you know):	
		(4) Nature of the proceeding:	
		(5) Grounds raised:	
		(6) Did you receive a hearing where evidence was given on your petition, applicat	on, or
		motion? Yes \(\sigma\) No \(\sigma\)	,
		(7) Result:	
		(8) Date of result (if you know):	
	<i>(</i> b)	If you filed any second petition, application, or motion, give the same information:	
	(~)	(1) Name of court:	
		(2) Docket or case number (if you know):	
		(3) Date of filing (if you know):	
		(4) Nature of the proceeding:	
		(5) Grounds raised:	

					<u>.</u>
				<del></del>	
(6) Did you receive a	hearing w	nere evidence wa	as given on yo	ur petition, applicati	on, or
motion? Yes	No □				
(7) Result:					
(8) Date of result (if y					
If you filed any third p					
(1) Name of court:			_		
(2) Docket or case nur					
(3) Date of filing (if yo					
(4) Nature of the prod					
(5) Grounds raised: _					
	-				
(6) Did you receive a	hearing w	nere evidence wa	as given on yo	ur petition, applicati	on, or
•	hearing w	nere evidence wa	ns given on you	ur petition, applicati	on, or
•	No 🔾				on, or
motion? Yes	No 🗅				on, or
motion? Yes (7) Result:	ou know):				
motion? Yes (7) Result:	ou know):				
motion? Yes (7) Result:	ou know):				
motion? Yes (7) Result:	ou know): highest st	ate court having			
motion? Yes (7) Result:	ou know): highest station? Yes	ate court having			
motion? Yes (7) Result:	ou know): highest station? Yes □ Yes □	No 🗆 No 🗆	jurisdiction o	ver the action taken	on your
motion? Yes (7) Result:	ou know): highest station? Yes □ Yes □	No 🗆 No 🗆	jurisdiction o	ver the action taken	on your

12. For this petition, state every ground on which you claim that you are being held in violation of the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States. Attach additional pages if you have more than four grounds. State the <u>facts</u> supporting each ground.

CAUTION: To proceed in the federal court, you must ordinarily first exhaust (use up) your available state-court remedies on each ground on which you request action by the federal court. Also, if you fail to set forth all the grounds in this petition, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds at a later date.

GROUND ONE: Lack of specific unanimity instruction as to assault second
degree
(a) Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):
The trial court failed to require that the jury verdict be specifically unanimous as to the elements of assault degree: the weapon alledgedly used
and petitioner's state of mind at the time of the alledged assault, both of which were in issue at the trial. Due process required a jury verdice
based upon specific unanmity as there were three possible weapons and
three possible states of mind in dispute as to the offense of assault
second degree. See Argument I in petitioner's brief with this petition
(b) If you did not exhaust your state remedies on Ground One, explain why:
(c) Direct Appeal of Ground One:
(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?
Yes ℚ No □
(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:
(d) Post-Conviction Proceedings:
(1) Did you raise this issue through a post-conviction motion or petition for habeas corpus in a
state trial court? Yes 🔾 No X🔾
(2) If your answer to Question (d)(1) is "Yes," state:
Type of motion or petition:
Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:

						ge 7
	number (if you know): _					<u>-</u> -
	rt's decision:					
Result (attach a	a copy of the court's opin	nion or order	if available):			
					-	
	eive a hearing on your m	notion or peti	tion?			
Yes □ No	_					
(4) Did you app Yes □ No	eal from the denial of yo	our motion o	r petition?			
(5) If your answ	er to Question (d)(4) is '	"Yes," did yo	u raise this iss	sue in the app	eal?	
Yes 🔾 No						
(6) If your answ	er to Question (d)(4) is '	"Yes," state:				
Name and locat	ion of the court where t	he appeal wa	as filed:		<del></del>	
Docket or case	number (if you know): _					
Date of the cour	t's decision:					
Result (attach a	copy of the court's opin	nion or order,	if available):			
(7) If your answ	er to Question (d)(4) or	Question (d)	(5) is "No" ev	olain why you	did not raise t	hie
	or to Question (u)(x) or	_				
	es: Describe any other p	rocedures (s	uch as habeas	corpus, admir	nistrative	
emedies, etc.) that	you have used to exhau	st your state	remedies on	Ground One: _	None	_
- W /						
ROUND TWO: _	Incomplete instru	action on	lesser inc	luded offen	ses	
	(Do not argue or cite la					
	ioner in assault s					_
included offe	nses of attempted	murder. I	n this mul	it-level ca	se, whwere	
petitioner wa	s found guilty onl	ly of an i	ntermediate	e offense,	the errorne	ous

rage
instruction resulted in a denial of due processas it had a substantial and
injurious effect on the jury verdict. See Argument II in petitoner's bri
with this petition.
(b) If you did not exhaust your state remedies on Ground Two, explain why:
(c) Direct Appeal of Ground Two:
(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?
Yes ♀ No □
(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:
(d) Post-Conviction Proceedings:
(1) Did you raise this issue through a post-conviction motion or petition for habeas corpus in a
state trial court?
Yes 🗔 No 🖄
(2) If your answer to Question (d)(1) is "Yes," state:
Type of motion or petition:
Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:
Docket or case number (if you know):
Date of the court's decision:
Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):
(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion or petition?
Yes 🗅 No 🗅
(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion or petition?
Yes 🗀 No 🗀
(5) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue in the appeal?
Yes 🗆 No 🗅
(6) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," state:
Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed:

	Page 9				
	Docket or case number (if you know):				
	Date of the court's decision:				
	Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):				
	(7) If your answer to Question (d)(4) or Question (d)(5) is "No," explain why you did not raise this				
	issue:				
(e)	Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administrative				
	remedies, etc.) that you have used to exhaust your state remedies on Ground Two:				
	OUND THREE: The prosecutor improperly attacked petitiner's character during his trial testimony				
(a) (	during his trial testimony  Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):				
(a) { T	during his trial testimony				
(a) { T	during his trial testimony Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.): he prosecutor improperly and deliberately attacked petitioner's character uring his trial testimony by stating "You're quite a humanitarian, aren't				
(a) { T d	during his trial testimony Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.): he prosecutor improperly and deliberately attacked petitioner's character uring his trial testimony by stating "You're quite a humanitarian, aren't				
a) (a) (b) d	during his trial testimony Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.): he prosecutor improperly and deliberately attacked petitioner's character uring his trial testimony by stating "You're quite a humanitarian, aren't ou?" in the presence of the jury during cross-examination. In this case, whe redibility was of crtical importance to the outcome of the case, the				
(a) (a) d d y c	Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):  the prosecutor improperly and deliberately attacked petitioner's character  uring his trial testimony by stating "You're quite a humanitarian, aren't  ou?" in the presence of the jury during cross-examination. In this case, whe  redibility was of crtical importance to the outcome of the case, the  rosecutor's comment so infected the trial with unfairness as to deny due				
(a) { (a) { T d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d	Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):  the prosecutor improperly and deliberately attacked petitioner's character uring his trial testimony by stating "You're quite a humanitarian, aren't ou?" in the presence of the jury during cross-examination. In this case, whe redibility was of crtical importance to the outcome of the case, the rosecutor's comment so infected the trial with unfairness as to deny due rocess. See Argument III in petitioner's brief with this petition.  If you did not exhaust your state remedies on Ground Three, explain why:				
(a) { T d y c	Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.): the prosecutor improperly and deliberately attacked petitioner's character uring his trial testimony by stating "You're quite a humanitarian, aren't ou?" in the presence of the jury during cross-examination. In this case, whe redibility was of crtical importance to the outcome of the case, the rosecutor's comment so infected the trial with unfairness as to deny due rocess. See Argument III in petitioner's brief with this petition.				
(a) {	Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):  the prosecutor improperly and deliberately attacked petitioner's character uring his trial testimony by stating "You're quite a humanitarian, aren't ou?" in the presence of the jury during cross-examination. In this case, whe redibility was of crtical importance to the outcome of the case, the rosecutor's comment so infected the trial with unfairness as to deny due rocess. See Argument III in petitioner's brief with this petition.  If you did not exhaust your state remedies on Ground Three, explain why:				
(a) {	Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):  the prosecutor improperly and deliberately attacked petitioner's character uring his trial testimony by stating "You're quite a humanitarian, aren't bu?" in the presence of the jury during cross-examination. In this case, whe redibility was of crtical importance to the outcome of the case, the rosecutor's comment so infected the trial with unfairness as to deny due rocess. See Argument III in petitioner's brief with this petition.  If you did not exhaust your state remedies on Ground Three, explain why:				

(d)	Post-Conviction Proceedings:					
	(1) Did you raise this issue through a post-conviction motion or petition for habeas corpus in a					
	state trial court? Yes 🔾 No 🖏					
	(2) If your answer to Question (d)(1) is "Yes," state:					
	Type of motion or petition:					
	Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:					
	Docket or case number (if you know):					
	Date of the court's decision:					
	Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):					
	(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion or petition?					
	Yes 🔾 No 🚨					
	(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion or petition?					
	Yes 🖸 No 🗅					
	(5) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue in the appeal?					
	Yes 🗅 No 🗅					
	(6) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," state:					
	Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed:					
	Docket or case number (if you know):					
	Date of the court's decision:					
	Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):					
	(7) If your answer to Question (d)(4) or Question (d)(5) is "No," explain why you did not raise this					
	issue:					
(e)	Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administrative					
	remedies, etc.) that you have used to exhaust your state remedies on Ground Three:					

Page 11A  GROUND FIVE: The sentences imposed exceeded the maximum penalties
permissible under the 6th Amendment
(a) Supporting facts:
The sentences imosed upon petitioner exceeded the maximum penalties
permitted under the Delaware Truth In Sentencing "presumptive sentences"
and were enhanced above those guideline sentences on the basis of facts
neither proven to a jury beyond a reasonable doubt nor admitted by petitioner
at the time of sentencing. See Argument V in petitioner's brief with this
petition.
<ul><li>(b) If you did not exhaust your state remedies on Ground Five, explain why:</li><li>(c) Direct Appeal of Ground Five:</li></ul>
(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this ground?
Yes xı No □
(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:
(d) Post-Conviction Proceedings:
(I) Did you raise this issue through a post-conviction motion or petition for habeas corpus in a state
trial court? Yes □ No 🔯
(2) If your answer to Question (d) (1) is "Yes," state:

Page 11
ROUND FOUR: The trial court improperly permitted the admission of
inflammatory evidence
a) Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):  The trial court permitted the prosecutor to introduce evidence of "prior"
bad acts" by petitioner. The admission of such evidence rendered petitioner
trial so unfair as to deny due process. See Argument IV with this petition.
o) If you did not exhaust your state remedies on Ground Four, explain why:
e) Direct Appeal of Ground Four:
(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue? Yes ≅ No □
(2) If you did <u>not</u> raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:
l) Post-Conviction Proceedings:
(1) Did you raise this issue through a post-conviction motion or petition for habeas corpus in a
state trial court? Yes 🖸 No 🔯
(2) If your answer to Question (d)(1) is "Yes," state:  Type of motion or petition:
Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:
Docket or case number (if you know):
Date of the court's decision:
Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):
(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion or petition?
Yes 🗆 No 🗀
(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion or petition?
Yes 🗆 No 🗅

(	(5)	If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue in the appeal?			
,	(G)	Yes □ No □  If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," state:			
		me and location of the court where the appeal was filed:			
	ivai	me and location of the court where the appear was med.			
]	Doc	eket or case number (if you know):			
]	Dat	e of the court's decision:			
]	Res	sult (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):			
-					
		If your answer to Question (d)(4) or Question (d)(5) is "No," explain why you did not raise this			
	Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administrative remedies, etc.) that you have used to exhaust your state remedies on Ground Four:				
-					
l3.	Ple	ase answer these additional questions about the petition you are filing:			
(	(a)	Have all grounds for relief that you have raised in this petition been presented to the highest			
		state court having jurisdiction? Yes 🖾 No 🗖			
		If your answer is "No," state which grounds have not been so presented and give your reason(s) for not presenting them:			
(	(b)	Is there any ground in this petition that has not been presented in some state or federal court? If so, which ground or grounds have not been presented, and state your reasons for			
		not presenting them: Not applicable			
14.	Hav	ve you previously filed any type of petition, application, or motion in a federal court regarding			
		conviction that you challenge in this petition?  Yes  No  No			

	proceeding, the issues raised, the date of the court's decision, and the result for each petition,
	application, or motion filed. Attach a copy of any court opinion or order, if available.
	<del></del>
	Do you have any petition or appeal now pending (filed and not decided yet) in any court, either
•	1
	state or federal, for the judgment you are challenging? Yes \(\sigma\) No \(\sigma\)
	If "Yes," state the name and location of the court, the docket or case number, the type of
	proceeding, and the issues raised.
,	Give the name and address, if you know, of each attorney who represented you in the following
	stages of the judgment you are challenging:
	(a) At preliminary hearing: Joseph A. Hurley, Esq.
	1215 N. King Street, Wilmington, DE 19801
	(b) At arraignment and plea: <u>(same)</u>
	(c) At trial:(same)
	(d) At sentencing: (same)
	(e) On appeal: Bernard J. O'Donnell, Esq.
	Public Defender's Office, 820 N. French St. Wilmington, DE 19801
	(f) In any post-conviction proceedingNot applicable
	(1) In any poor convious proceedings of applicable
	(g) On appeal from any ruling against you in a post-conviction proceeding:
	Not applicable
	NA WANT TARKET
,	Do you have any future sentence to serve after you complete the sentence for the judgment th

	(a) If so, give name and location of court that imposed the other sentence you will serve in the future: i) Delaware Superior Court, Wilmington, DE ii) Delaware Superior Court, Georgetown, DE
	(b) Give the date the other sentence was imposed: i) March 19, 2004; ii) January 20, 2004
	(c) Give the length of the other sentence: i)3 years ii) 7 months
	(d) Have you filed, or do you plan to file, any petition that challenges the judgment or sentence to
	be served in the future? Yes \( \frac{\dagger}{\Dagger} \) No \( \Dagger
18.	TIMELINESS OF PETITION: If your judgment of conviction became final over one year ago, you
	must explain why the one-year statute of limitations as contained in 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d) does not bar your petition.* Not applicable

(continued...)

<sup>\*</sup> The Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 ("AEDPA") as contained in 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d) provides in part that:

<sup>(1)</sup> A one-year period of limitation shall apply to an application for a writ of habeas corpus by a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court. The limitation period shall run from the latest of —

Page 15

Therefore, petitioner asks that the Court grant the following relief: awarding petitioner a writ
of habeas corpus as to grounds one - four hereof, conditioned upon a new
trial; as to ground five hereof, conditioned upon a resentencing
or any other relief to which petitioner may be entitled.

Document 1

Signature of Attorney (if any)

I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus was placed in the prison mailing system on July 10 , 2005 (month, date, year).

Executed (signed) on July 10, 2005 (date).

<sup>\*(...</sup>continued)

<sup>(</sup>A) the date on which the judgment became final by the conclusion of direct review or the expiration of the time for seeking such review;

<sup>(</sup>B) the date on which the impediment to filing an application created by State action in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States is removed, if the applicant was prevented from filing by such state action;

<sup>(</sup>C) the date on which the constitutional right asserted was initially recognized by the Supreme Court, if the right has been newly recognized by the Supreme Court and made retroactively applicable to cases on collateral review; or

<sup>(</sup>D) the date on which the factual predicate of the claim or claims presented could have been discovered through the exercise of due diligence.

<sup>(2)</sup> The time during which a properly filed application for State post-conviction or other collateral review with respect to the pertinent judgment or claim is pending shall not be counted toward any period of limitation under this subsection.

Page 16

If the person signing is not petitioner, state relationship to petitioner and explain why petitioner is not signing this petition.

IN FORMA PAUPERIS DECLARATION

[Insert appropriate court]

\* \* \* \* \*